

## 5 Sobolev Spaces

1.[Ex.1] Suppose  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ ,  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ . Prove  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$  is a Banach space

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$ . For  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , when  $n, m > N$  we have

$$\|\partial^\alpha u_n - \partial^\alpha u_m\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_n - u_m\|_{C^{k,\gamma}} \leq \varepsilon \quad (1.1)$$

for  $\forall \alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . Thus  $\partial^\alpha u_n$  forms a Cauchy Sequence in  $L^\infty$ . There exists a function  $g_\alpha$  such that

$$\|\partial^\alpha u_n - g_\alpha\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$$

Especially assume  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  in  $L^\infty$ . Since  $\partial^\alpha u_n$  are continuous and uniformly converges to  $g_\alpha$ , thus  $g_\alpha$  is continuous. We claim that  $u \in C^{k,\alpha}$  with  $\partial^\alpha u = g_\alpha$ . It suffices to prove  $\partial_1 u = g_1$ , others follow by induction on  $\alpha$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $x = (x_1, x') \in B_r(0) \subset U$ . Notice  $u_n(x_1, x') = u_n(0, x') + \int_0^{x_1} \partial_1 u_n(s, x') ds$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) - \int_0^{x_1} g_1(s, x') ds &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( u_n(x) - \int_0^{x_1} \partial_1 u_n(s, x') ds \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(0, x') = u(0, x') \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Thus  $\partial_1 u(x) = g_1$ , by induction we could see  $u \in C^k(\bar{U})$ . Now we show  $\partial^\alpha u \in C^\gamma$  for  $|\alpha| = k$ . We know that

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial^\alpha u_n]_\gamma &\leq \|u_n\|_{C^{k,\gamma}} \leq M \\ \implies |\partial^\alpha u_n(x) - \partial^\alpha u_n(y)| &\leq M \|x - y\|^\gamma \\ \implies |\partial^\alpha u(x) - \partial^\alpha u(y)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\partial^\alpha u_n(x) - \partial^\alpha u_n(y)| \\ &\leq M \|x - y\|^\gamma \text{ for } \forall x \neq y \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{U})$ , with  $\|u_n - u\|_{C^{k,\gamma}} \rightarrow 0$ . That implies  $C^{k,\gamma}(\bar{U})$  is a Banach Space  $\square$

2.[Ex.2] Assume  $0 < \beta < \gamma \leq 1$ . Prove the interpolation inequality

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{U})} \leq \|u\|_{C^{0,\beta}(U)}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta}} \cdot \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(U)}^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}} \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\gamma = \beta \cdot \frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta} + \frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}$$

Recall that we define  $\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}}$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}} &= \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\gamma} \\
 &= \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta} + \frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta} + \frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}}}{\|x - y\|^{\beta \cdot \frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta} + \frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}}} \\
 &\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta}} \cdot \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}} + \left( \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta}} \cdot \left( \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\|x - y\|} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}} \\
 &\leq \left( \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta}} \cdot \left( \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\|x - y\|} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}} \\
 &= \|u\|_{C^{0,\beta}(U)}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\beta}} \cdot \|u\|_{C^{0,1}(U)}^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\beta}}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**3.[Ex.3]** Denote by  $U$  the open square  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x_1| < 1, |x_2| < 1\}$ . Define

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x_1 & \text{if } x_1 > 0, |x_2| < x_1 \\ 1 + x_1 & \text{if } x_1 < 0, |x_2| < -x_1 \\ 1 - x_2 & \text{if } x_2 > 0, |x_1| < x_2 \\ 1 + x_2 & \text{if } x_2 < 0, |x_1| < -x_2 \end{cases}$$

For which  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  does  $u$  belongs to  $W^{1,p}(U)$ ?

*Proof.* Obviously,  $u \in L^p(U)$  for every  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , we find the weak derivative of  $u$ .

Take  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_U u(x) \cdot \partial_1 \varphi(x) \, dx \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( \int_{|x_1| \geq |x_2|} (1 - |x_1|) \cdot \partial_1 \varphi \, dx_1 + \int_{|x_1| \leq |x_2|} (1 - |x_2|) \cdot \partial_1 \varphi \, dx_1 \right) dx_2 \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( \int_{|x_1| \geq |x_2|} (-|x_1|) \cdot \partial_1 \varphi \, dx_1 + (-|x_2|) \int_{|x_1| \leq |x_2|} \partial_1 \varphi \, dx_1 \right) dx_2 \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( |x_2| \cdot \varphi(|x_2|, x_2) + \int_{x_1 > |x_2|} \varphi \, dx_1 - |x_2| \cdot \varphi(-|x_2|, x_2) - \int_{x_1 < -|x_2|} \varphi \, dx_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-|x_2|) \cdot (\varphi(|x_2|, x_2) - \varphi(-|x_2|, x_2)) \right) dx_2 \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( \int_{x_1 > |x_2|} \varphi \, dx_1 - \int_{x_1 < -|x_2|} \varphi \, dx_1 \right) dx_2 \\
 &= \int_U (\mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 > |x_2|\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 < -|x_2|\}}) \varphi(x) \, dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $-\partial_1 u = \mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 > |x_2|\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 < -|x_2|\}}$  in weak sense. By the same discussion for  $\partial_2 u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_1 u &= \mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 > |x_2|\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 < -|x_2|\}} \\ -\partial_2 u &= \mathbf{1}_{\{x_2 > |x_1|\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{x_2 < -|x_1|\}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nabla u \in L^p$  for every  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ .  $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$  for every  $p \in [1, +\infty]$   $\square$

4.[Ex.4] Assume  $n = 1$  and  $u \in W^{1,p}(0, 1)$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

- (a) Show that  $u$  is equal a.e. to an absolutely continuous function and  $u'$  (which exists a.e.) belongs to  $L^p(0, 1)$ .
- (b) Prove that if  $1 < p < \infty$ , then

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq |x - y|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^1 |u'|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \quad (4.1)$$

for a.e.  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $g$  be the weak derivative of  $u$ . Consider  $f(x) := \int_0^x g(t) dt$ . Since  $g \in L^p(0, 1)$ , we have that  $f$  is absolutely continuous with  $f' = g$  a.e. Now for  $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, 1)$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 u \cdot \varphi' dx = - \int_0^1 g \cdot \varphi = \int_0^1 f \cdot \varphi' \quad (4.2)$$

which implies

$$\int_0^1 (u - f) \cdot \varphi' = 0 \implies u = f + C \text{ a.e. for some constant } C \quad (4.3)$$

Hence,  $u$  is absolutely continuous with  $u' = g$  a.e.

- (b) By conclusion from (a), we know  $u(x) = \int_0^x u'(t) dt + C$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(y)| &\leq \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[x,y]} |u'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[x,y]} \right)^{1-1/p} \left( \int_0^1 |u'|^p \right)^{1/p} = |x - y|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^1 |u'|^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for a.e.  $x, y \in [0, 1]$

$\square$

5.[Ex.5] Let  $U, V$  be open sets, with  $V \subset\subset U$ . Show there exists a smooth function  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta \equiv 1$  on  $V$ ,  $\zeta = 0$  near  $\partial U$ . (Hint: Take  $V \subset\subset W \subset\subset U$  and mollify  $\chi_W$  )

*Proof.* Since  $V \subset\subset U$ ,  $\bar{V}$  and  $\partial V$  are compact in  $U$ . For  $\forall x \in \partial V$ , there exists  $r_x > 0$ , such that  $B(x, r_x) \subset U$ . By compactness of  $\partial V$ , there exists finitely many  $\{B(x_i, r_{x_i}/2)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ , such that  $\cup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, r_{x_i}/2) \supset \partial V$ . Now define  $W$  to be

$$W = V \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, r_{x_i}/2) \right)$$

Then  $V \subset\subset W \subset\subset U$ . We choose  $r$  to be

$$r = \min\{\text{dist}(\bar{V}, \bar{W}^c), \text{dist}(\bar{W}, U^c)\}/2$$

Let  $\rho \in C_c^\infty(B(0, r))$  with  $\int \rho = 1$ , define  $\zeta := \rho * \chi_W$ . We show  $\zeta$  satisfies.  $\zeta$  is smooth since  $\rho \in C_c^\infty$ . For  $x \in V$ ,  $B(x, r) \subset W$ , we have

$$\zeta(x) = \int_{B(x, r)} \chi_W(y) \rho(x - y) dy = 1$$

For  $\text{dist}(x, \partial U) < r/2$ ,  $B(x, r) \cap \bar{W} = \emptyset$

$$\zeta(x) = \int_{B(x, r)} \chi_W(y) \rho(x - y) dy = 0$$

□

6.[Ex.6] Assume  $U$  is bounded and  $U \subset\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N V_i$ . Show there exists  $C^\infty$  functions  $\zeta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \zeta_i \leq 1, \text{ supp } \zeta_i \subset V_i & (i = 1, \dots, N) \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_i = 1 & \text{on } U \end{cases}$$

The functions  $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^N$  form a partition of unity.

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove that there exists  $\{W_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  such that  $W_i \subset\subset V_i$ ,  $U \subset\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N W_i$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Define  $\{W_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as

$$W_{i,n} := \{x \in V_i \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial V_i) > \frac{1}{n}\}$$

Thus  $V_i = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{i,n}$  and  $\bar{U} \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq N} V_i = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} W_{i,n}$ . By compactness of  $\bar{U}$ , we could find finitely many  $W_{j,n_j}$  such that

$$\bar{U} \subset \bigcup_{j \text{ finite}} W_{j,n_j}$$

Notice  $W_{i,n} \subset W_{i,m}$  for  $n < m$  for every  $i$ . By adding some  $W_{j,n_j}$  if necessary, we could deduce that

$$\bar{U} \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} W_{i,n_i}$$

for some  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . And  $W_{i,n_i} \subset \subset V_i$ .

Secondly, by conclusions from Ex.1, we could find  $\tilde{\zeta}_i \in C_c^\infty(V_i)$  such that  $\tilde{\zeta}_i \equiv 1$  in  $W_i$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}_i \geq 0$ . Notice also  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N W_i \subset \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N (\text{supp } \tilde{\zeta}_i)^\circ$ . There exists  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\bigcup_{i=1}^N (\text{supp } \tilde{\zeta}_i)^\circ)$  such that  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N W_i$ . And  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ . Then Let

$$\zeta_i = \eta \cdot \frac{\tilde{\zeta}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\zeta}_i}$$

We could see  $\zeta_i$  is well-defined and is smooth with support in  $V_i$ . And  $\sum \zeta_i = 1$  on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N W_i$ . Thus,  $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^N$  forms partition of unity in  $\bar{U}$ .  $\square$

**7.[Ex.7]** Assume that  $U$  is bounded and there exists a smooth vector field  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \cdot \nu \geq 1$  along  $\partial U$ , where  $\nu$  as usual denotes the outward unit normal. Assume  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Apply the Gauss-Green Theorem to  $\int_{\partial U} |u|^p \alpha \cdot \nu \, dS$ , to derive a new proof of the trace inequality

$$\int_{\partial U} |u|^p \, dS \leq C \int_U |Du|^p + |u|^p \, dx \quad (7.1)$$

for all  $u \in C^1(\bar{U})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha \cdot \nu \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial U} |u|^p \, dS &\leq \int_{\partial U} |u|^p \alpha \cdot \nu \, dS = \int_U \text{div}(|u|^p \alpha) \, dx \\ &= \int_U p \, \text{sgn}(u) |u|^{p-1} Du \cdot \alpha + |u|^p \text{div}(\alpha) \, dx \\ &\leq (p \cdot \sup |\alpha| + \sup |\text{div}(\alpha)|) \int_U |Du|^p + |u|^p \end{aligned}$$

where we apply Holder's inequality to the last inequality. Let  $C = p \cdot \sup |\alpha| + \sup |\text{div}(\alpha)|$ , we got (7.1)  $\square$

**8.[Ex.8]** Let  $U$  be bounded, with a  $C^1$  boundary. Show that a "typical" function  $u \in L^p(U)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) does not have a trace on  $\partial U$ . More precisely, prove there does not exist a bounded linear operator

$$T : L^p(U) \rightarrow L^p(\partial U)$$

such that  $Tu = u|_{\partial U}$  whenever  $u \in C(\bar{U}) \cap L^p(U)$ .

*Proof.* We give out a counterexample. i.e. a sequence of  $u_n \in C(\bar{U}) \cap L^p(U)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(U)$  but  $u_n|_{\partial U}$  does not converge to  $u|_{\partial U}$  in  $L^p(\partial U)$ . This contradicts to continuity of  $T$  if such a  $T$  exists.

Let  $u_n$  be defined as:

$$u_n(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{dist}(x, \partial U) \geq \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 - n \cdot \text{dist}(x, \partial U), & \text{dist}(x, \partial U) < \frac{1}{n} \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

Then  $\|u\|_{L^p(U)} \leq m \{x \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial U) < 1/n\} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $m$  denotes Lebesgue measure.  $0 \in L^p(U) \cap C(\bar{U})$ . However,  $u_n|_{\partial U} \equiv 1$  does not converge to 0.  $\square$

**9.[Ex.9]** Integrate by parts to prove the interpolation inequality:

$$\|Du\|_{L^2} \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|D^2u\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$$

for all  $u \in C_c^\infty(U)$ . Assume  $U$  is bounded,  $\partial U$  is smooth, and prove this inequality if  $u \in H^2(U) \cap H_0^1(U)$ .

(Hint: Take sequences  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C_c^\infty(U)$  converging to  $u$  in  $H_0^1(U)$  and  $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^\infty(\bar{U})$  converging to  $u$  in  $H^2(U)$ .)

*Proof.* For  $u \in C_c^\infty(U)$ , by Holder's Inequality:

$$\int_U |Du|^2 dx = \int_U \nabla u \cdot \nabla u = - \int_U \Delta u \cdot u \leq C \cdot \|D^2u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^2}$$

Now for  $u \in H_0^1 \cap H^2$ , take sequences  $v_k \in C_c^\infty(U)$  converging to  $u$  in  $H_0^1$  norm, take sequences  $w_k \in C^\infty(\bar{U})$  converging to  $u$  in  $H^2$  norm. Then

$$\|v_k\|_{H^1} \rightarrow \|u\|_{H^1}, \quad \|w_k\|_{H^2} \rightarrow \|u\|_{H^2}$$

We claim that  $Dv_k \cdot Dw_k \rightarrow |Du|^2$  in  $L^1$  norm.

$$\int_U |Du|^2 - \int_U Dv_k \cdot Dw_k \leq \int_U |Du - Dv_k| \cdot |Dw_k| + \int_U |Du| |Du - Dw_k| \rightarrow 0$$

By the same discussion, we have  $v_k \cdot \Delta w_k \rightarrow u \cdot \Delta u$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U |Du|^2 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_U Dv_k \cdot Dw_k \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial U} v_k Dw_k \cdot n \, ds - \int_U v_k \Delta w_k \\ &= - \int_U u \cdot \Delta u \leq C \|u\|_{L^2} \|D^2 u\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

### 10.[Ex.10]

(a) Integrate by parts to prove

$$\|Du\|_{L^p} \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{L^p}^{1/2} \|D^2 u\|_{L^p}^{1/2}$$

for  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and all  $u \in C_c^\infty(U)$ .

(Hint:  $\int_U |Du|^p \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_U u_{x_i} u_{x_i} |Du|^{p-2} \, dx$ .)

(b) Prove

$$\|Du\|_{L^{2p}} \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{1/2} \|D^2 u\|_{L^p}^{1/2}$$

for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and all  $u \in C_c^\infty(U)$

*Proof.* (a) By integrate by parts and Holder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Du\|_{L^p}^p &= \int_U \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} u_{x_i} |Du|^{p-2} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\partial U} u \cdot |Du|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \, ds - \int_U u \cdot \nabla \cdot (|Du|^{p-2} Du) \, dx \\ &\leq C \cdot \int_U |u| \cdot |Du|^{p-2} \cdot |D^2 u| \, dx \\ &\leq_{(Holder)} C \cdot \|u\|_{L^p} \cdot \|Du\|_{L^p}^{p-2} \cdot \|D^2 u\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|Du\|_{L^p}^2 \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{L^p} \cdot \|D^2 u\|_{L^p}$  for  $2 \leq p < \infty$

(b) Similarly, by integrates by parts and Holder's Inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Du\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p} &= \int_U |Du|^{2p} \, dx = \int_U \sum_i u_{x_i} u_{x_i} |Du|^{2p-2} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\partial U} u \cdot \sum_i u_{x_i} |Du|^{2p-2} \, ds - \int_U u \cdot \nabla \cdot (|Du|^{2p-2} Du) \, dx \\ &\leq C \cdot \int_U |u| \cdot |Du|^{2p-2} \cdot |D^2 u| \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \|u\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|Du\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p-2} \cdot \|D^2u\|_{L^p}$$

□

**11.[Ex.11]** Suppose  $U$  is connected and  $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$  satisfies:

$$Du = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } U$$

Prove  $u$  is a constant a.e. in  $U$ .

*Proof.* Take mollifiers  $\eta_\epsilon$  with support in  $B(0, \epsilon)$ .  $U_\epsilon = \{x \in U \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial U) > \epsilon\}$ . We have  $u * \eta_\epsilon \in C^\infty(U_\epsilon)$ .  $D(u * \eta_\epsilon) = (Du) * \eta_\epsilon = 0$  a.e. Thus,  $u * \eta_\epsilon = C(\epsilon)$  is a constant.

Then  $u * \eta_\epsilon \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $U$ . Since  $u * \eta_\epsilon$  is a sequence of constants, they must converge to a constant. Let  $C(\epsilon) \rightarrow C$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $u = C$  a.e. □

**12.[Ex.12]** Show by example that if we have  $\|D^h u\|_{L^1(V)} \leq C$  for all  $0 < |h| < \frac{1}{2}\text{dist}(V, \partial U)$ , it does not necessarily follow that  $u \in W^{1,1}(V)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U = (-2, 2) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V = (-1, 1)$ . Define  $u$  as

$$u = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases} \implies D^h(u) = \begin{cases} 1/h & x \in (0, h) \\ 0 & x \notin (0, h) \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\|D^h\|_{L^1(V)} = 1$  for  $|h| < \frac{1}{2}\text{dist}(V, \partial U)$ . But  $u \notin W^{1,1}(V)$ . □

**13.[Ex.13]** Give an example of an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a function  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(U)$ , such that  $u$  is not Lipschitz continuous in  $U$ . (Hint: Take  $U$  to be the open unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with a slit removed).

*Proof.* Consider  $n = 2$ , let  $U = B_1(0) \setminus (\{x \leq 0, y = 0\} \cup B_{1/2}(0))$  be an annulus with a slit removed. Let  $u$  be defined by polar coordinates:

$$u(r, \theta) = r \cdot \theta, \quad \theta \in (0, 2\pi)$$

The Jacobian Matrix between  $(x, y)$  and  $(r, \theta)$  is

$$J = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, \theta)} = r \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$$



Then obviously  $u \in L^1(U)$  with derivatives  $\partial_r u(r, \theta) = \theta$ ,  $\partial_\theta u(r, \theta) = r$ , then  $(\partial_x u, \partial_y u) = J^{-1}(\partial_r u, \partial_\theta u)$  bounded. But for  $(r_1, \theta_1) = (\frac{3}{4}, 2\pi - \varepsilon)$ ,  $(r_2, \theta_2) = (\frac{3}{4}, \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\frac{|u(r_1, \theta_1) - u(r_2, \theta_2)|}{\|(r_1, \theta_1) - (r_2, \theta_2)\|} \rightarrow \infty \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

Thus,  $u$  is not Lipschitz continuous in  $U$ .  $\square$

**14.**[Ex.14] Verify that if  $n > 1$ , the unbounded function  $u = \log \log(1 + \frac{1}{|x|})$  belongs to  $W^{1,n}(U)$ , for  $U = B^0(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Firstly,  $u \in L^n$  :

$$\int_U |u|^n dx = \alpha(n) \int_0^1 |\log \log(1 + \frac{1}{r})|^n \cdot r^{n-1} dr < \infty$$

Where  $\alpha(n)$  denotes the volume of  $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Secondly,  $\partial u \in L^n$ , take  $\partial_{x_1} u$  for example:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U |\partial_{x_1} u|^n dx &= \int_U \left| \frac{1}{\log(1 + \frac{1}{r}) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{r})} \cdot \left(-\frac{x_1}{r^3}\right) \right|^n dx \\ &\leq \alpha(n) \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{(r^2 + r) \cdot \log(1 + \frac{1}{r})} \right|^n \cdot r^{n-1} dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{r \cdot |\log(\frac{1}{r})|^n} dr < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $u \in W^{1,n}(U)$   $\square$

**15.**[Ex.15] Fix  $\alpha > 0$  and let  $U = B^0(0, 1)$ . Show there exists a constant  $C$ , depending only on  $n$  and  $\alpha$ , such that

$$\int_U u^2 dx \leq C \int_U |Du|^2 dx \quad (15.1)$$

Provided

$$|\{x \in U \mid u(x) = 0\}| \geq \alpha, \quad u \in H^1(U). \quad (15.2)$$

*Proof.* We prove by contradiction, assume there exists a sequence of  $u_k \in W^{1,p}(U)$ , satisfies (15.2), and has the property of

$$\int_U u_k^2 dx > k \int_U |Du_k|^2$$

Then define

$$v_k := \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|_2}, \quad \text{then } \|v_k\|_2 = 1, \quad \|Dv_k\|_2 < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Since  $v_k$  are bounded in  $H^1(U)$ , there exists a subsequence of  $v_{k_j}$  and  $v \in H^1$  such that

$$v_{k_j} \rightarrow v \text{ in } L^2, \quad v_k \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } W^{1,2}$$

Then for  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ .  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U)$ ,

$$\left| \int_U v \cdot \partial_i \varphi \right| = \left| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_U -\partial_i v_{k_j} \cdot \varphi \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_2 \cdot \|Dv_{k_j}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

Thus  $Dv \equiv 0$  a.e. Thus,  $v$  is a constant function, and  $\|v\|_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|v_k\|_2 = 1$ , thus  $v \equiv C_0 \neq 0$  a.e. But

$$\int_U |v - v_{k_j}|^2 \geq C_0^2 |\{x \in U \mid v_{k_j} = 0\}| \geq C_0^2 \alpha > 0$$

That's contradiction to  $v_{k_j} \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(U)$ . □

**16.**[Ex.16] (Variant of Hardy's inequality) Show that for each  $n \geq 3$  there exists a constant  $C$  so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^2 dx \quad (16.1)$$

for all  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . (Hint:  $|Du + \lambda \frac{x}{|x|^2} u|^2 \geq 0$  for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .)

*Proof.* Apply Hardy's inequality [See page 296]: if  $v \in H^1(B(r))$ , then we have

$$\int_{B(r)} \frac{v^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq C \int_{B(r)} |Dv|^2 + \frac{v^2}{r^2} dx \quad (16.2)$$

Now Let  $u_n$  be defined on  $B(n)$  by  $u_n = u|_{B(n)}$ , then we have

$$\int_{B(n)} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq C \int_{B(r)} |Du|^2 + \frac{u^2}{r^2} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^2 dx + \frac{\|u\|_2^2}{n^2}$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we got the Hardy's Inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^2 dx$$

□

**17.**[Ex.17] (Chain rule) Assume  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$ , with  $F'$  bounded. Suppose  $U$  is bounded and  $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$  for some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Show

$$v := F(u) \in W^{1,p}(U) \quad \text{and} \quad v_{x_i} = F'(u)u_{x_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \quad (17.1)$$

*Proof.* By density of  $W^{1,p}(U)$ , choose  $u_n \in C^\infty(\bar{U})$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,p}(U)$ . Now let  $v_n := F(u_n)$ , then  $\partial_i v_n = F'(u_n) \cdot \partial_i u_n$ . Notice  $F$  is  $C^1$  with  $F'$  bounded, thus  $F(u_n) - F(u) \leq \|F'\|_\infty \cdot |u_n - u|$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - v\|_p &\leq \|F'\|_\infty \cdot \|u_n - u\|_p \rightarrow 0. \\ Dv_n &\rightarrow F'(u)Du \text{ a.e.} \quad \|Dv_n\|_p \leq \|F'\|_\infty \cdot \|Du_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Du_n$  converges to  $Du$  in  $L^p$  norm, thus  $Du_n$  are bounded in  $L^p$  norm. By Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have  $Dv_n \rightarrow F'(u)Du$  in  $L^p(U)$ . Thus  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,p}(U)$ , with  $Dv = F'(u)Du$ .  $\square$

**18.[Ex.18]** Assume  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $U$  is bounded.

- (a) Prove that if  $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$ , then  $|u| \in W^{1,p}(U)$
- (b) Prove  $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$  implies  $u^+, u^- \in W^{1,p}(U)$ , and

$$Du^+ = \begin{cases} Du & \text{a.e. on } \{u > 0\} \\ 0 & \text{a.e. on } \{u \leq 0\} \end{cases} \quad (18.1)$$

$$Du^- = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{a.e. on } \{u \geq 0\} \\ -Du & \text{a.e. on } \{u < 0\} \end{cases} \quad (18.2)$$

(Hint:  $u^+ = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(u)$  for

$$F_\varepsilon(z) := \begin{cases} (z^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2} - \varepsilon & \text{if } z \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z < 0 \end{cases} \quad (18.3)$$

- (c) Prove that if  $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$ , then

$$Du = 0 \text{ a.e. on the set } \{u = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* For (a) and (b):

Consider  $F_\varepsilon$  defined as (18.3). Then  $u^+ = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(u)$ . Notice  $|u| = 2u^+ - u$ , to prove  $|u| \in W^{1,p}$ , we just need to prove  $u^+ \in W^{1,p}$ . Firstly we observe

$$F'_\varepsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{(z^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}} & \text{if } z \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z < 0 \end{cases}, \quad |F'_\varepsilon| \leq 1, \quad F_\varepsilon(z) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{1}_{z \geq 0}$$

Thus by conclusion from last problem, we have  $F_\varepsilon(u) \in W^{1,p}$  with  $\partial_i(F_\varepsilon(u)) = F'_\varepsilon(u)\partial_i u \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{u>0}\partial_i u$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Since  $|F'_\varepsilon|$  is uniformly bounded by dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\partial_i(F_\varepsilon(u)) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{u>0}\partial_i u \quad \text{in } L^p(U)$$

$F_\varepsilon(u) \leq |u|$  is bounded, by DCT again we have  $u^+ = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(u)$  in  $L^p(U)$ . Thus,  $F_\varepsilon(u) \rightarrow u^+$  in  $W^{1,p}$  with  $Du^+ = \mathbf{1}_{u>0}Du$ .  $Du^-$  is given similarly.

For (c):

Notice  $u = u^+ - u^-$  with  $u^+, u^- \in W^{1,p}$ .  $Du^+ = Du^- = 0$  a.e. on the set  $\{u = 0\}$ . Thus  $Du = Du^+ - Du^- = 0$  a.e. on the set  $\{u = 0\}$   $\square$

**19.[Ex.19]** Provide details for the following alternative proof that if  $u \in H^1(U)$ , then

$$Du = 0 \text{ a.e. on the set } \{u = 0\}.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a smooth, bounded, and nondecreasing function, such that  $\phi'$  is bounded and  $\phi(z) = z$  if  $|z| \leq 1$ . Set

$$u^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \phi(u/\varepsilon). \quad (19.1)$$

Show that  $u^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$  weakly in  $H^1(U)$  and therefore

$$\int_U Du^\varepsilon \cdot Du \, dx = \int_U \phi'(u/\varepsilon) |Du|^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0$$

Employ this observation to finish the proof.

*Proof.*  $u^\varepsilon$  is defined as (19.1), then  $u^\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|\phi\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,  $u^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(U)$ . And  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi$  is non-decreasing shows  $\phi' \geq 0$ .  $u^\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$\{u^\varepsilon = 0\} = \{u = 0\}, \quad D(u^\varepsilon) = \phi'(u/\varepsilon) Du$$

Since  $\phi'$  is bounded,  $\|Du^\varepsilon\|_2 \leq \|\phi'\|_\infty \cdot \|Du\|_2$  are bounded. Thus there exists a sequence of  $\varepsilon_n$ , such that  $u^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup v$  weakly in  $H^1(U)$  and  $u^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(U)$  for some  $v \in H^1(U)$ . Notice that  $u^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(U)$ , thus  $v = 0$  in  $U$ . Therefore,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_U Du^{\varepsilon_n} \cdot Du = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_U \phi'(u/\varepsilon_n) |Du|^2 \geq \int_{\{u=0\}} |Du|^2 \geq 0$$

Thus  $|Du| = 0$  a.e. in  $\{u = 0\}$   $\square$

**20.**[Ex.20] Use the Fourier transform to prove that if  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $s > n/2$ , then  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with the bound

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|u\|_{H^s} \quad (20.1)$$

for a constant  $C$  depending only on  $s$  and  $n$ .

*Proof.*  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |y|^s)^2 |\hat{u}(y)|^2 dy < \infty \quad (20.2)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ixy} \hat{u}(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|^s)^2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |y|^s)^2 |\hat{u}(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\|u\|_{H^s}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left( |B_1| + \int_{|y|>1} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|^{s/2})^2} dy \right) = C\|u\|_{H^s} \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_1$  is the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $C < \infty$  Since

$$\int_{|y|>1} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|^s)^2} dy \leq \int_{|y|>1} \frac{1}{|y|^{2s}} dy = |\partial B_1| \int_{r>1} \frac{1}{r^{2s-n+1}} dr = \frac{|\partial B_1|}{2s-n} < \infty \quad (20.3)$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $u \in L^\infty$  with  $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|u\|_{H^s}$ , where  $C$  is bounded by

$$C \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} (|B_1| + \frac{|\partial B_1|}{2s-n}) \quad (20.4)$$

depending only on  $s$  and  $n$ . □

**21.**[Ex.21] Show that if  $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $s > n/2$ , then  $uv \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\|uv\|_{H^s} \leq C\|u\|_{H^s}\|v\|_{H^s} \quad (21.1)$$

the constant  $C$  depending only on  $s$  and  $n$ .

*Proof.* Notice  $\widehat{uv} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \hat{u} * \hat{v}$ , and there exists  $C$  depending only on  $n$  and  $s$  such that

$$(1 + |y|^s) \leq C \cdot [(1 + |y - z|^s) + (1 + |z|^s)] \quad \text{for } \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (21.2)$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uv\|_{H^s}^2 &= \int (1 + |y|^s)^2 |\widehat{uv}(y)|^2 dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int (1 + |y|^s)^2 |\hat{u} * \hat{v}(y)|^2 dy \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int (1 + |y|^s)^2 \left| \int \hat{u}(y-z) \hat{v}(z) dz \right|^2 dy \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \left| \int (1 + |y|^s) \hat{u}(y-z) \hat{v}(z) dz \right|^2 dy \\
&\leq C \int \left| \int (1 + |y-z|^s) \hat{u}(y-z) \hat{v}(z) + (1 + |z|^s) \hat{u}(y-z) \hat{v}(z) dz \right|^2 dy \\
&= C \int (|f\hat{u}| * \hat{v} + \hat{u} * |f\hat{v}|)^2 dx \quad , \text{ where } f(y) = (1 + |y|^s) \\
&\leq_{(Young)} C \cdot (\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \|\hat{v}\|_{L^1}^2 + \|v\|_{H^s}^2 \|\hat{u}\|_{L^1}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{L^1}^2 = \left( \int |\hat{u}(x)| dx \right)^2 \leq \left( \int \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^s)^2} dx \right) \left( \int (1 + |x|^s)^2 |\hat{u}|^2 dx \right) \leq C \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \quad (21.3)$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\|uv\|_{H^s} \leq C \|u\|_{H^s} \|v\|_{H^s}$$

□